

INDIANA STATE UNIVERSITY

# Teacher Education Committee

December 13, 2005

Approved Minutes (1-17-06)

**Members Present:** Brad Balch, Steve Gruenert, Eric Hampton, Hema Ganapathy-Coleman, Maury Miller, Beth Whitaker, Marylin Leinenbach, Karen Hamilton, Liz Jones, Deb Knaebel, Linda Damer

**Members Absent:** Tonya Balch, Dan Clark, Jay Gatrell, Anthony Gilberti, Bob DeFrance, Bill Wilhelm, Molly Hare, Deborah Myers, Amanda Solesky

**Ex Officio Members Present:** Susan Powers, Robert Williams, Judy Sheese, Ann Rider,

**Ex Officio Members Absent:** Jolynn Kuhlman, Tad Foster, Bruce McLaren, John Ozmun

**Guests:** NA

1. Call to Order
2. Approval of Minutes (November 15, 2005): Motion – Liz Jones; 2<sup>nd</sup> – Maury Miller; Approval – Unanimous.
3. Old Business
  - A. Application process for BCP: sub-committee – Karen Hamilton reported that Educational Student Services is currently working on this item. Karen will provide an update in January.
4. New Business
  - A. Discussion of NCATE preliminary findings and UAS work – Susan Powers reviewed the preliminary findings. Susan noted that the NCATE Steering Committee has been reconvened. The Committee is larger to include all initial and advanced programs. TEC can expect an Advanced Programs UAS presentation in February. Confusion of terms such as UAS will need to be clarified unit-wide in the future. The UAS is a term referring to “unit” (i.e., College) assessment. Candidate and program assessment is different than the UAS. TEC will take on a larger role in terms of the UAS. Definitions, timelines, roles/responsibilities with NCATE – all considerations which TEC will need to discuss in the near future. By March or April of 2006, a plan as it regards the UAS should be underway in TEC. A handbook perspective might be helpful. Beth Whitaker suggested looking at similar governance structures at peer institutions. The impending changes imply a significant cultural shift for TEC to satisfy the implications of NCATE’s Standard 2 expectations. Attendance at future TEC meetings will be important. It was suggested that a reminder be sent to all members regarding the spring semester meetings.
  - B. TEC handbook – Susan Powers will develop a general outline of handbook contents for future consideration and development. The question was asked regarding the extent to which TEC assumes an administrative role for programs. This will need to be determined, but programs will need to comply with TEC criteria for the UAS (to be determined).

5. Dean's Report—Bob Williams

Bob Williams shared additional information about the NCATE report. He noted our challenge as a Unit is to truly tell our “story” of how we prepare professional educators. The UAS largely tells our “story.” From our previous report, items for correction were noted and as a result of this report, the corrections were removed. Dean Williams affirmed that good work is being done in the COE and a special thanks was given to all stakeholders.

6. Acting Associate Dean's Report—Susan Powers – Nothing at this time.

7. ESS Report—Judy Sheese – After June 30<sup>th</sup>, professionalizations and additions could continue for Rules 46-47 licensure; however, the rule is yet to be promulgated.

8. Adjourn – Prior to adjournment, it was reaffirmed that we must tell our “story” and share our successes as a unit. A special thanks for the leadership and guidance of Susan Powers was proclaimed and unanimously affirmed. Adjourned by acclamation.

Respectfully submitted by,  
*Bradley Balch, TEC Secretary*