

INDIANA STATE UNIVERSITY
TEACHER EDUCATION COMMITTEE
January 16, 2007

APPROVED MINUTES
(Approved 2-20-07)

Members Present: D. Ballard, L. Calvin, R. DeFrance, W. Flurkey, H. Ganapathy-Coleman, S. Gruenert, E. Hampton, F. Lai, M. Leinenbach, M. Miller, D. Quatroche, W. Wilhelm

Members Absent: T. Balch, D. Clark, A. Gilberti, M. Hare, K. Miller, D. Rueckert, A. Solesky, A. Stafford

Ex Officio Members Present: B. Balch, S. Powers, A. Rider

Others Present: B. Coldren, Y. Peterson

1. Meeting called to order at 3:35 by Chair S. Gruenert.
2. Approval of Minutes: M. Miller moved approval of the December 19, 2006 minutes. M. Leinenbach seconded. One correction was noted. F. Lai was present at the last meeting. Minutes approved as corrected (12-0-0).
3. Old Business
 - A. Assessment Day and UAS Data Review

S. Powers noted that Assessment day went great, with a good turnout. There were 31 individuals present for the presentation on advanced programs and 31 at the presentation for initial programs. Discussion was rich in the morning session given the state of the data. The UAS subcommittees will be reconstituted. Many suggestions were gathered at Assessment Day. Documents from Assessment Day, including the audio recordings will be posted to the TEC website. The next Assessment Day will be in late August or early September of 2007, looking at 2006/2007 data. It is important that programs be collecting dispositional and performance data. By fall 2009 the full report will be turned into the state for content areas to continue to offer programs. A TEC member noted the importance of having specific questions to ask teachers joining us at Assessment Day. Discussion centered on creation of guiding questions and reorganization of the UAS around these guiding questions. Programs will need to do a better job disaggregating data. Makeup of the UAS committees was discussed.
4. New Business
 - A. Art Education Major Program Proposal.

M. Miller moved approval. M. Leinenbach seconded. SPED 226 is a duplication of a required class in the Art Education Program. This proposal removes

SPED 226 as a requirement for Art Education Majors, while requiring these individuals to use the 3 credit hours toward ARTS 251. Motion passed (12-0-0).

B. School Health Education Program Proposal

M. Miller moves approval. D. Quatroche seconded. This proposes a merger between the Community Health Promotion and School Health Education program into one program (Health Sciences) with two different tracks. Motion passed (12-0-0).

C. Rules 46/47 Licenses

B. Coldren presented on this issue on behalf of ESS. Information on what is on the books for 46/47 was handed out as was a sheet on programs. We do not offer all the courses to support these. We need to determine if we still want to support these. Individuals are to strike through the courses you no longer want to support and sign the document. If you decide to discontinue a 46/47 program, you cannot get this back. If we way we have these programs, we must be able to support and offer them. Many programs changed dramatically when converting to Rules 2002. These decisions should be made at the program level. Information should be brought back to the next TEC meeting. This will be on the agenda for the next meeting.

D. Reconstitute the UAS Subcommittees

Individuals are needed to fill both UAS subcommittees; they need not be on TEC. Membership must match the TEC bylaws. The Initial subcommittee will have a secondary charge of aligning the forms used in teacher education programs to allow for aggregation of data. This reconstitution broadens the work of the subcommittees. Chair S. Gruenert asks that names of individuals to serve be submitted prior to the next TEC meeting. All work of these subcommittees will come back to TEC. Groups should be started prior to the third week in February. A date of February 1st was provided for the submission of names. TEC will approve the committee memberships. Names should be submitted to Shelia Weir.

5. Reports

A. Dean's Report – B. Balch

The Dean expressed thanks for participating in Assessment Day. Members should take a look at the TEC bylaw language prior to the next meeting. There is some language on next steps in terms of reporting information back to programs and the Dean's office.

Information was presented from a meeting with the international affairs coordinator for the Indiana Department of Education. Indiana exports over 21 billion, we are 11th in the U.S. for international business, invest over 30 billion in international business, have over 242 thousand foreign born residents, 50% of these from Latin America. Indiana has a high growth of LEP students, which has more than doubled since 2000/2001, now over 35 thousand, speaking 234 different languages.

Suellyn Reed has requested a commitment from the legislature to put toward world language collaboratives. A hope is to pilot school/university partnerships to advance this. It is also hoped that ways are found to seek international opportunities for student placements and to add developmental levels to foreign languages. This is an opportunity for us to influence policy. We are 8th in the nation for high school inbound students but 40th in outbound opportunities. There are more policy opportunities here, in broadening the scope of early teaching experiences and finding ways to support this Indiana need.

B. Associate Deans' Report

S. Powers mentioned that university council will join TEC for the February meeting to talk about exceptions to rules. Right now rules are appealed by students to departments of CIMT or EESE. The question is can one body pass a rule and another body hear appeals to this rule? Council will discuss legal ramifications of allowing appeals.

C. ESS Report

B. Coldren reported that Judy Sheese is representing ISU on a committee to reexamine Praxis 1 waivers. This is a think tank group. Issues of SES are coming up, with large groups of students experiencing difficulties with this test. This committee is at an exploratory phase. Dean Balch suggested that when we run into Praxis problems, it is often an issue of advising and has advising implications regardless of what this committee decides.

6. Meeting adjourned at 4:30. The next TEC meeting will be on February 20th, 2007 at 3:30 p.m.

Respectfully submitted by,

Eric Hampton
TEC Secretary