

INDIANA STATE UNIVERSITY  
TEACHER EDUCATION COMMITTEE  
November 21, 2006

APPROVED MINUTES  
(Approved 12-19-06)

Members Present: T. Balch, D. Ballard, D. Clark, H. Ganapathy-Coleman, S. Gruenert, E. Hampton, F. Lai, M. Leinenbach, K. Miller, D. Quatroche, D. Rueckert, A. Solesky.

Members Absent: L. Calvin, R. DeFrance, W. Flurkey, A. Gilberti, M. Hare, M. Miller, A. Stafford, W. Wilhelm.

Ex Officio Members Present: B. Balch, S. Powers, R. Libler, A. Rider, J. Sheese.

1. Meeting called to order at 3:40 by Chair S. Gruenert.  
Approval of Agenda: E. Hampton moved approval, D. Quatroche seconded.  
Agenda approved (11-0-0).
2. Approval of Minutes: M. Leinenbach moved approval of the October 17, 2006 minutes. H. Ganapathy-Coleman seconded. Minutes approved (11-0-0).
3. Old Business
  - A. Assessment Day  
S. Powers distributed a schedule for Assessment Day. Advance programs will present in the morning to avoid a conflict with offered courses. A sheet on questions to be answered from assessment day was handed out. The initial and advanced subcommittees will look at all the data and report on this during Assessment Day. They will draw conclusions and make recommendations. The questions sheet distributed is a framework to be used in looking at the data. A goal is to look at overall candidate performance, at entry to program, midway, and at the conclusion of the program. The question of how candidates impact student learning is of importance as is how well they work in a diverse environment. Spreadsheets showing which information have been received and which have not been received was also distributed. Information will be posted to the TEC website. Initial and advanced subcommittees begin meeting next week to look at the data.
4. New Business
  - A. EESE Program Proposal Revision  
S. Powers moved approval. M. Leinenbach seconded. D. Quatroche spoke on this issue. The proposal is trying to open up general education possibilities for students coming into the program, making the program more transfer friendly. A. Rider made a friendly amendment, substituting "An approved fine arts course, 2-4 hours" for Art Ed 390. S. Powers accepted this friendly amendment. A list of courses will be put together for the time being until discussions around this can occur. B. Balch

asked about conditional approval of COE Congress. Congress approved the proposal on the conditions that particular clarifications be made and sent to the Chair of COE Congress. These changes have not yet been made. D. Ballard asked whether an elementary education major would choose one fine arts course on the list and that would meet the requirement. D. Quatroche answered that they would be choosing from the art part, which would not impact the music requirement. Motion passed (10-0-1).

## 5. Reports

### A. Dean's Report

B. Balch reported a transition for day to day student teaching operations from CIMT to ESS under J. Sheese's leadership. Conversations continue with EESE pursuing a similar shift. Departmental faculty maintain primary authority on curriculum decisions. This shift realizes some efficiencies. B. Balch reported on the work of the initial and advanced subcommittees. Part of the challenge is locating data. We are housing data in multiple places. A challenge for departments is creating a way to capture this data as quickly as possible. The annual template which will be used to inform Assessment Day was discussed. The stakes are very high when the BOE returns in 2008. The timeline must be met. Coordinators should be informed that the deadline for data submission is soon and the information is needed.

### B. Associate Deans' Report

S. Powers spoke of the limited criminal history check process. Agreements are in place stating that we check that all students have current LCHCs prior to placement. A process is in place with the portal for a way to check on the class roster whether students have the check and whether there is something there. This works well as long as faculty go in and check this. J. Sheese has reviewed the process. An informational sheet was distributed.

R. Libler reported on Project Pre. Secondary designs are again being looked at. The group is meeting and discussing revisions to program, delivery, and schedule of classes. The same process of program review is occurring for elementary programs. A taskforce to consider a center for pedagogy continues its work and has made some broad recommendations for implementation. Conversations are now needed to refine thoughts on what this center might be. This taskforce reconvenes in January 2007. Individuals interested in becoming a part of this conversation should send an email to R. Libler.

### C. ESS Report

The committee looking into the issue of waivers for Praxis 1 decided that the question should not be whether there are waivers, but whether there should be a Praxis 1 standard. A lot of states are using other standardized measures. So, waivers were not discussed as they are not the issue. Recommendations were sent to the Division of Professional Standards Advisory Board to discuss Praxis 1 as a measure in Indiana. A committee will be put together on this issue.

6. Adjournment. D. Quatroche moved to adjourn. M. Leinenbach seconded.  
Meeting was adjourned at 4:15. The next meeting of TEC will be on Dec. 19, 2006 at  
3:30.

Respectfully submitted by:

Eric Hampton  
TEC Secretary